

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,320		02/28/2002	Joe Cargnelli	9351-95	1996
1059	7590	04/01/2003			
BERESKIN AND PARR				EXAMINER	
SCOTIA PL 40 KING ST		VEST-SUITE 4000	FORD, JOHN K		
TORONTO, CANADA	TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3743	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		Application No. Applicant(s)					
٠.		Office Action Summary	10/084,320	Cargnelli et al.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			FORD	3743				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
5	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
1	Disposition of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
F	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).								
11	16) U Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:							
U.S. PT	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No. 4							

Application/Control Number: 10/084,320

Art Unit: 3743

A complete listing of the prior art cited in the parent application and from any other sources (e.g. concurrent prosecution in other countries) on a PTO-1449 form is required in response to this action.

The fc lowing is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patenta illity shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Weitman (4,574,062) or Jacobs (4,711,294) or Christensen (2,419,119) or Sung (6,279,650) or Olstad (3,415,313) or JP 7-140061 or JP 5-256468 or JP 56-119434 or JP 54-16836.

The claims are exceedingly broad, hence the number of anticipating references is large (unless the Examiner is missing some subtleness in the claims). The captioned references are exemplary of a large body of art and are cited and applied based on the rationale of <u>Ira re Gorman</u> 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991) and <u>In re GPAC</u> 35 USPQ2d 1116 (Fed. Cir. 1995).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,320

Art Unit: 3743

Regarding claim 3, see JP '468, in particular, which discloses steam generator 24, before cooler 25 and reheater 31.

Regarding claim 6, see element 58 of Christensen. Regarding claim 7, in each of these systems cooling is provided by some sort of conventional heat exchanger (e.g. evaporator) and pump (e.g. compressor) pumping a fluid (e.g. FREON) through a circuit.

Regarding claim 9, Weitman teaches cooling heat exchanger 5 and heating heat exchanger 11.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the prior art as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Ebbing et al. (5,544,275) or Othmer (3,617,699).

Heaters for long delivery pipes where significant temperature drop may occur are well known to prevent the condensation of gas components. To have used either of the heaters of Ebbing or Othmer in the outlet line of the prior art to keep the outlet line from experiencing condensation would have been obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,320

Art Unit: 3743

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the prior art as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Oswalt et al. (4,769,998)

Oswalt teaches a combined heater / chiller to achieve particularly high levels of regulation. To have substituted this type of chiller in place of the chillers shown in the prior art would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John K. Ford at telephone number 703-308-2636.

John K. Ford Primary Exeminer